Lift: The Language, The IR and Code Generation

Naums Mogers, Larisa Stoltzfus

April 2nd, 2018

University of Edinburgh

Table of contents

- 1. LIFT An Intermediate Language
- 2. Writing a LIFT Application
- 3. LIFT Intermediate Representation
- 4. LIFT Compilation

Source code, installation manual and slides are available at http://www.lift-project.org/ispass2018

LIFT - An Intermediate Language

Data Layout Patterns *Data Layout Patterns* The Lift IL defines a set of patterns that do not perform any computation but simply respectively. The simply respectively respectively respectively.

- Do not perform any computation
- $\frac{1}{2}$ instance, the data layout $\frac{1}{2}$ in $\frac{1}{2}$ • Reorganize the data layout (**View**)

◦ *scatter(i* → *(i* mod *ncols)* × *nrows* + *i* / *ncols)* ◦ *join*

```
1 val transposeFunction = (outerSize: ArithExpr, innerSize: ArithExpr) =>
2 (i: ArithExpr, \rightarrow => {
    val col = (i * innerSize) * outerSize\overline{z}\overline{4}val row = i / innerSize<br>row + col
5
6 \overline{6}7<sup>1</sup>\mathbf{g}9 val Transpose = Split(N) o Gather(IndexFunction.transposeFunction(M, N)) o Join()
                          geti
                                  ((x1, x2, . . . , xn)) = xi
```
For examples of Gather and Scatter indexing functions, see *src/main/ir/ast/package.scala*

pattern which applies a function to a vector.

$$
\mathbf{zip}(\boxed{x_1 \mid x_2 \mid \cdots \mid x_n}, \boxed{y_1 \mid y_2 \mid \cdots \mid y_n})
$$
\n
$$
= \boxed{(x_1, y_1) \mid (x_2, y_2) \mid \cdots \mid (x_n, y_n)}
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{get}_i((x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)) = x_i
$$

- mapWrg(0 *−* 2)
- mapLcl(0 *−* 2)
- mapGlb(0 *−* 2)
- mapWarp
- mapLane

toGlobal toLocal toPrivate

global, *local* and *private* address spaces. The Lift IL offers

ory is expressed as: *toLocal*(*mapSeq*(*id*))(*x*). This design de-MapWrg(MapLcl(toLocal(MapSeq(id))) \$ X space) from the decision of *how* the data is produced (*i.e.*,

• These primitives decouple the decision of where to store data from the decision of *how* the data is produced.

$$
\mathbf{asVector}(\boxed{x_1 | x_2 | \cdots | x_n}) = \overrightarrow{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n}, x_i \text{ is scalar}
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{asScalar}(\overrightarrow{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n}) = \boxed{x_1 | x_2 | \cdots | x_n}
$$

- mapVec(*f*, −−−−−−−−−−−→ *^x*¹, *^x*², . . . , *^xn*) ⁼ −−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−→ *^f*(*x*1), *^f*(*x*2), . . . , *^f*(*xn*) vectorized form using OpenCL built-in vectorized arithmetic operations whenever possible. • During code generation, the lift compiler transforms *f* into a
	- \cdot In other cases, f is applied to each scalar in the vector.

All LIFT primitives are either:

- High-level, capturing rich information about the algorithmic structure of programs
- Low-level and platform-specific (OpenCL, OpenCL for FPGAs, OpenMP, etc)

Writing an Application

- Determine input parameters
- Initialise input data
	- If testing, initialise comparison data
- Craft or translate the algorithm of interest
- Create an OpenCL kernel from your algorithm

Data Input to Lift Algorithms

• Lift can take in arrays or scalars as input parameters

- Single entry point for arrays into functions
	- Multiple arrays can be zipped together (but must be the same size!)

Initialising Data in Scala

• Create arrays of data to pass into Lift algorithms in Scala

val stencilValues = Array.tabulate(nx, ny, nz) { (i, j, k) => $(i + j + k + 1)$.toFloat }

• Our examples are all in unit tests, which include data to compare against - often from the same algorithm in Scala

assertEquals(dotProductScala(lift,right), output.sum, 0.0f)

The goal is not for Lift to be programmed in directly.

However, functionality for new types of algorithms must be added in and tested. In doing so, there are a few things to keep in mind:

- Lift allows multiple inputs, but there is only one data entry point to the main algorithm (can contain tuples)
- The algorithm itself must eventually map values back to global memory
- The result will be returned in a single array (however, this array can also contain tuples)

```
1 val jacobilDstencil = fun(ArrayType(Float, N),
\overline{2}\overline{3}(\text{input}) \Rightarrow {
   Map(Reduce(add, 0.0f)) o
\overline{4}5
            Silde(3, 1) o
6\phantom{.}6Pad(1, 1, clamp) $ input\overline{7}\mathcal{E}8)
```
Creating an OpenCL kernel

- To compile your Lift kernel to OpenCL, run [opencl.executor]Compile(<kernel>)
	- This kernel can then be saved as a string or file

• To execute the kernel straight away (compiling will happen behind the scenes), run [opencl.executor]Execute(<options>) [Array[type]](lambda, ..inputs..)

val (output, runtime) = Execute(inputData.length)[Array[Float]](stencilLambda, inputData, stencilWeights

LIFT Intermediate Representation

• Expressions represent values and have a type associated with.

• Function declarations

represent callable entities: lambdas, patterns and user functions.

Dot product example

For more dot product variations, see *src/test/tutorial/applications/DotProduct.scala*

Corresponding AST

LIFT compilation

Compilation stages

- Compile: *src/main/opencl/executor/Compile.scala:44*
	- Type checking: *src/main/ir/TypeChecker.scala:39*
- the Iterate node. To understand what the lambda does, we *src/main/opencl/ir/pattern/ReduceSeq.scala:11* • Example Pattern.checkType():
- · Generate: *src/main/opencl/generator/OpenCLGenerator.scala:176*
	- *siteration* and *space interence*.
 src/main/opencl/ir/InferOpenCLAddressSpace.scala:18 • Memory address space inference:
- This notation is only syntactic sugar for: *src/main/opencl/generator/RangesAndCounts.scala:26* • Domain-specific range inference:
	- Memory allocation: *src/main/ir/Type.scala:559*
	- iteratory anotation: *stc/main/n/19pe.scala.533*
• Loop unrolling: *src/main/opencl/generator/ShouldUnroll.scala:50*
- The lambda (λ) makes the data flow explicit, i.e. the *iterate src/main/opencl/generator/BarrierElimination.scala:41* • Barrier elimination:
- Views (array Accesses): *src/main/ir/view/View.scala:585*

lift type system

- Lift has a *dependent* type system
- Scalar types: int, float, etc
- Vector types corresponding to OpenCL types int2, float4, etc
- can look back at Listing 1, lines 4– 7 copies 4– 7 cop • Tuples
	- ↑ Represented as **structs** in the generated OpenCL code
_{Fake}
	- Arrays
- Can be nested
• Can be nested
	- theorgian Carry information about the size and capacity of each dimension
in their type in their type
- This information is represented by arithmetic expressions (more
on this later) particle parameter *parameter parameter parameter parameter <i>parameter parameter parameter parameter in the parameter parameter parameter in the parameter parameter in the parameter parameter in the parameter* p on this later)

Memory allocation

- \cdot The naive approach would be to allocate a new output buffer for every FunCall AST node
- We only allocate memory to the nodes where the called function contains a UserFun
	- \cdot The address space is inferred from $\textsf{FunCall}$

Memory allocation

- ¹³ if *writeTo !*= *null* then expr.as = writeTo;
- ¹⁴ else expr.as = inferASFromArgs(*expr.args*);
- ¹⁵ case is Lambda inferASFunCall(*expr.f, expr.args, writeTo*);
- $\begin{array}{c|c} \n\text{16} & \text{case is toPrivate} \\
\hline\n\text{17} & \text{in ferASFunc} \\
\end{array}$
- This notation is notation interaction interactively, $\begin{bmatrix}\n\text{case is to Prorate} \\
\text{case is to Prorate}\n\end{bmatrix}\n\text{array}$
	- 18 | | | case is toLocal
	- 19 $\left\{ \left\| \text{inferASFunCall}(\text{expr}, \text{lambda}, \text{express}, \text{LocalMemory}) ; \right\| \right\}$
	- case is toGlobal
	- (**A** case is to Global

	and case is to Global

	inferASFunCall (*expr.f.lambda, expr.args,* GlobalMemory);
 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ **Reduce** (. . ., split²
		- 22 **case** is *Reduce*
33 **infera**SFunC
		- ²³ inferASFunCall(*expr.f.f, expr.args, expr.f.init.as*);
		- ²⁴ case is *Iterate* or *Map*
- \mathbf{r} and $\begin{bmatrix}\n\text{case is } \text{hference of Map} \\
\text{in} \text{TekrASwLall}(\text{energy}, \text{energy}, \text{writeTo}); \\
\text{otherwise do express } = \text{expresses};\n\end{bmatrix}$ 25 $\left\{\left\{\right\}$ inferASFunCall(*expr.f.f, expr.args, writeTo*);
26 $\left\{\right\}$ otherwise do expr.as = expr.args as:
	- otherwise do \overline{e} expr.as = expr.args.as;
- pattern parameters in parameter *parameter parameter parameter <i>p s***n** *parameter <i>p ss splitter b s* inferASFunCall(*lambda, args, writeTo*)
	- ²⁷ foreach *p* in *lambda.params* and *a* in *args* do p.as = a.as;
	- ²⁸ inferASExpr(*lambda.body, writeTo*)
- which then the *mapdabody, writeTo*)
 Algorithm 1: Recursive address space inference algorithm

- \cdot In LIFT IR, arrays are accessed implicitly based on the patterns
- the Iterate node. To understand what the lambda does, we have the lambda • This eliminates arbitrary memory accesses and the associated problems
- However, expressing (efficient) pattern-transformed accesses is not obvious
- \cdot ...which is where \sf{Views} come to the rescue (but more on that later)

Barrier elimination

- \cdot We start by synchronizing after each occurrence of a parallel **Map**
- \cdot Then we remove barriers one by one wherever we can infer that they are not required: $\frac{1}{2}$
- When data is not shared (i.e. Split, Join, Gather and Scatter are not used)
- If when the two parallel **Maps** are executed independently in
constant pranches of **7in** The lambda (λ) makes the data flow explicit, i.e. the *iterate* separate branches of Zip

OpenCL code generation

- \cdot The AST is traversed recursively
- \cdot No OpenCL code is generated for the patterns that only affect $View$ View
- loop unrolling are app simplify the control flow using the information on *ranges* inferred from the patterns such as **mapLcl**
———————————————————— (. . ., split² • Low-level optimizations such as loop unrolling are applied to

The end

Source code, installation manual and slides are available at http://www.lift-project.org/ispass2018